# STUDY OF RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN BLOOD PARAMETERS WITH MYOCARDIAL INFRACTIONS

Nimisha Gajera \*, Vishaldeep Gohel \*\*, Bhavin Kansagra \*\*\*, Nlleshwari Vala\*\*\*, V.S.Joshi \*\*\*\*\*
\*resident, \*\*Tutor, \*\*\*Resident, \*\*\*\*Associate professor \*\*\*\*\*Professor and Head, Department of Physiology, Shree M.P. Shah
Government Medical College, Jamnagar

Abstracts: Background: The aim of this study was to find out the changes in blood parameters in a group of myocardial infarction patients in Jamnagar, Gujarat. Method: In this cross sectional study conducted at the medicine clinic in GGH General Hospital, Jamnagar between May 2012 and April 2013, a total of 100 subjects were included. Parameters like Hemoglobin, RBC count, WBC count, Platelet count, Haematocrit, ESR, Mean corpuscular volume, mean corpuscular hemoglobin, Mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration, packed cell volume, Differential WBC count used. Result: The results of present study revealed that WBC count, ESR, the differential leukocyte count (e.g. Neutrophil cells) in patients increased significantly (P < 0.01) comparison to controls. While, the differential leukocyte count of lymphocyte & platelet count revealed to decrease significantly (P < 0.01) in patients. Conclusion: abnormal blood parameters are more common among myocardial infarction patients. Elevated WBC count, ESR, neutrophils are present in patients as compared to control. While decreased in lymphocytes & platelets are seen in patients as compared to control.

**Key Words**: Myocardial infarction, WBC (white blood cells), RBC (red blood cells), MCV (Mean corpuscular volume), MCHC (Mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration), MCH(Mean corpuscular hemoglobin), PCV(Packed cell volume)

**Author for correspondence:** Vishaldeep D. Gohel, Department of Physiology, , Shree M.P. Shah Govt. I Medical College, Jamnagar – 361008. E- mail: vishaldeep.gohel47@gmail.com

#### Introduction:

Ischemic heart disease (IHD) in the vast majority of cases, are caused by an imbalance between the myocardial oxygen demand and the blood supply [1]. The most common cause of ischemic heart diseases is the narrowing of the lumina of the coronary arteries by atherosclerosis [2], Myocardial infarction (MI) is almost always due to the formation of occlusive thrombus at the site of rupture or erosion of an athermanous plaque in a coronary artery. IHD are the single most common cause of death in economically developed countries of the world [3].

The leucocytosis is usual, reaching peak on the first day. The erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) become raised and may remain so far several days. Echocardiography is a very useful technique for assessing left and right ventricular function and for detecting complication such as thrombus, cardiac ventricular septal defect, mitral regurgitation and pericardial effusion [4].

The chest pain is the most common symptom of acute myocardial infarction and is often described as a sensation of tightness, pressure, or squeezing. Pain radiate most often to

the left arm, lower jaw, neck, right arm, back and epigastrium, where it may mimic heart burn. [5] Clinically, a myocardial infarction can be further sub classified into a ST elevation MI (STEMI) versus a non-ST elevation MI (non-STMI) based on ECG changes.[6].

Electrocardiography (ECG) may show acute changes with elevation in the ST segment and T wave inversion. Within 1 or 2 days of infarction deeping of Q wave occurs, ST and T wave change will disappear over time. The Q wave changes remain and can be used to detect a past infarction. Systemic signs of inflammation occur; including fever, increasing leukocytes and increasing erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) begin about 24 hours after infarction and continue for up to 2 weeks [7]. Elevated white blood cell count play important role in the vascular injury and atherogenesis, the and development of an atherosclerotic plaque rupture, and thrombosis.

Cardiovascular mortality increases progressively as the presenting hemoglobin level falls below 14 to 15 g/dL; conversely, it also rises as the hemoglobin level increases above 17 g/dL. The increased risk from anemia probably relates to diminished tissue delivery of oxygen, whereas the increased risk with

polycythemia may be related to an increase in blood viscosity. [8]. The important risk factors are previous cardiovascular disease, older age, tobacco smoking, high blood levels of certain lipids (triglycerides, low-density lipoprotein) and low level of high density lipoprotein (HDL), diabetes, high blood pressure, obesity, chronic kidney disease, heart failure, excessive alcohol consumption, and chronic high stress level. [9].

The aim of this study was to find out the changes in blood parameters in a group of myocardial infarction patients

#### **Material and Methods:**

The present study is observational clinical study, conducted in GGH Government hospital, Jamnagar. The study duration is from year 2012 to 2013. The study has been approved by Institutional Ethics Committee. In this study, 100 known cases of Myocardial infarction and 100 apparently healthy subjects as a control group were studied.

#### **INCLUSION CRITERIA:-**

Age more than 30 years, both male and female. Patient with chest pain diagnosed as ST elevation myocardial infarction

The present study was conducted in GGH government Hospital in cooperation department of physiology/ Department of Medicine during period from May 20110 to April 2013. The patients were diagnosed by specialist physicians by positive troponin I tests, typical chest pain and changes in ECG. Subjects were explained the purpose and protocol of the study. After informed consent, blood sample were collected to measure following parameters: Hemoglobin, Total RBC count, Total WBC count, Platelet count, Mean corpuscular volume, corpuscular Mean hemoglobin, Mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration, packed cell volume, Differential WBC count. These all parameters were measured automated cell counter. Erythrocyte sedimentation rate by wintergreen's method.

# **Statistics**

Mean & SD were calculated. Unpaired student's't' test was applied to test difference between means. Pearson Correlation co-efficient (r) was calculated

to test correlation between parameters. Statistical significance was accepted at P value of <0.05.

#### Result:

Study includes 100 Patient suffering MI and 100 age and sex matched controls

The subjects were divided into two groups.

Group - I: Healthy control=100 Group - II: patient with chest pain diagnosed as myocardial infarction=100.

Table: 1 General characteristic of study groups

	MI patients	Control
	(N=100)	(N=100)
	47 ± 11.11	
Age(Years)		47.01±12.65
Sex ratio		
(Male/Female)	68/32	68/32

Table:2 Comparison of Hb, RBC, TLC & platelet between myocardial infarction patients & control group.

	Myocardial infarction (N=100)		Control (N=100)	
Parametes	Mean	±SD	Mean	±SD
<b>Hb</b> (gm %)	13.25	1.39	12.34	074***
RBC (M/cu mm)	4.76	0.61	4.62	1.11
TLC ( T/cu mm)	7.01	1.77	11.34	4.96***
Platelet (Lacs/cumm)	3.2	0.59	2.58	0.91***

\*\*\*P<0.001

There is significant decrease (P < 0.01) in Hemoglobin & platelet count in patients as compare to control group, While significant increase (P<0.01) in total leucocytes count in patient as compare to control.

Table: 3 comparisons of Haematocrit & ESR between myocardial infarction patients & control group.

Parametes	MI patients (N=100)		Control (N=100)	
	Mean	±SD	Mean	±SD
Hematocrit (%)	39.39	3.98	37.94	4.77
ESR (mm/1st hr)	10.8	4.21	22.11	14.33***

\*\*\*P<0.001

The ESR showed significant increase (P < 0.05) in patient's group comparison to the controls, while there is not much difference in hematocrit

Table: 4 comparisons of Blood indices between myocardial infarction patients & control group.

	MI patients (N=100)		Control (N=100)	
	Mean ±SD		Mean	±SD
Parameters				
MCV (fl)	83.23	7.43	85.16	8.38
MCH (pg)	27.63	3.63	28.43	4.13
MCHC (gm %)	32.91	1.72	32.93	2.67

There are not much significant changes in MCV, MCH, and MCHC between patient & control group.

Table: 5 comparison of differential leucocytes count between myocardial infarction patients & control group.

	MI patients (N=100)		Control (N=100)	
Parameters	Mean	±SD	Mean	±SD
Neutrophils (%)	58.83	6.27	73.19	10.58***
Lymphocytes (%)	32.25	5.16	18.69	10.26***

\*\*\*P<0.001

There is significant increase (P < 0.01) in neutrophil in patients comparison to controls. Whereas the lymphocytes showed significant decrease (P < 0.05) in patients when compared with controls.

## **Discussion:**

In this study value of haematological parameters like TLC, neutrophils and ESR are significantly higher in MI and as compared to normal subjects. Hb, Haematocrit and platelet count are slightly higher in control group as compared to MI patient's group. There is no more change in RBC count, MCV, MCH, and MCHC in both the groups. Lymphocyte is lower in MI patients.

In the present study, the mean hemoglobin is significantly low in MI group than in control group. Similar studies conducted by Zaid Alirhayim et al.[10], Toshio Kobayashi et al.[11], Khalid Al-Fartosi et al.[12] and Alireza Yaghoubi[13] found significantly lower level of haemoglobin in control MI group as compared to control group.

However the study conducted by Sreekanth KS et al.[14] found no statistically significant change in MI group.

In the present study, the mean total RBC counts found no statistically significant change in MI group. Similar studies conducted by Khan HA et al.[15], Toshio Kobayashi et al.[11] and Khalid Al-Fartosi et al. [12] found no statistically significant change in total RBC count in MI group.

In the present study, the mean total WBC count is significantly higher in MI group than in control group. Khan HA et al.[15], E. Zorio et al.[16], Khalid Al-Fartosi et al.[12] and Chafil Al-Shujiari[17] found significantly higher level of total WBC count in MI group as compared to control group and that is comparable with present study.

Another study conducted by Tahir AM et al [18] found significantly lower level of total WBC count in MI group as compared to control group and that is contradict with present study.

In the present study, the mean total platelet count is significantly lower in MI group than in control group. Ly HQ et al.[19], Nirmala et al.[20], L.Pizzulli et al.[21] and Khan HA et al.[15] found significantly lower level of total platelet count in MI group as compared to control group and that is comparable with present study.

In the present study, the mean hematocrit is slightly lower in MI group than in control group. However studies conducted by Khalid Al-Fartosi et al.[12] and Alireza Yaghoubi et al.[13] found significantly lower level of hematocrit in MI group as compared to control group.

In the present study, the mean MCV, MCH & MCHC found no statistically significantly change in MI group. Similar studies conducted by E. Zorio et al.[16] found no statistically significantly change in MCV, MCH & MCHC in MI group. While another study conducted by Toshio Kobayashi et al.[11] found no statistically significantly change in MCV in MI group . Study conducted by Kung-Ming Jan et al.[22] found no statistically significantly change in MCV, MCH & MCHC in MI group.

In the present study, the mean neutrophil count is significantly higher & lymphocyte count is significantly lower in MI group than in control group.

Similar studies conducted by Khan HA et al.[15], Tahir AM et al.[18], Kirtane AJ et al.[23], E. Zorio et al. [16] and Chafil Al-Shujiari [17] found significantly higher level of neutrophil in MI group as compared to control group and that is comparable with present study. Chafil Al-Shujiari [17] found significantly lower level of lymphocyte in MI group as compared to control group and that is comparable with present study.

In the present study, the mean ESR count is significantly higher in MI group than in control group. However similar studies conducted by

Khalid Al-Fartosi et al.[12], Alireza Yaghoubi et al.[13], Chafil Al-Shujiari[17] and Sreekanth KS et al.[14] found significantly higher level of ESR in MI group as compared to control group and that is comparable with present study.

## **Conclusion:**

Patients suffer from MI have higher incidence of altered haematological parameters. Most common alterations are significant increases in ESR, total leucocytes count, Neutrophils count & significant decreases in hemoglobin, platelet count, lymphocyte count. While there are not many changes in RBC count & blood indices.

# LIMITATON OF THIS STUDY AND FUTURE RESEARCH

Larger Sample size would be more conclusive. Study of other factors like effect of smoking, drinking &lipid modifying drugs may be helpful. We can also include other blood parameters like lipid profile & liver function test give more light in this subject.

# Reference:

- Michel, R. N. and Cotran, R. S. Cell injury, adaptation, and death. In: Kumar, V.; Cotran, R S. and Robbins, S. L.(eds). Robbins Basic Pathology. 7th ed. W.B. Saunders Company, Philadelphia; 2003.
- Myocardial infarction redefined-a consensus document of the Joint european society of Cardiology/American College of Cardiology Committee for the redefinition of myocardial infarction. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2000, 36(3): 959-969,.
- Akbar, D. H. Admission blood glucose level is a risk predictor in Acute myocardial infarction in non-diabetic patients. King Abdulaziz University Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia 2000, 22.
- Boon N.A, Colledge N.R, Walker B.R, and Hunter JA,A. Davidson's principle and practice of medicine, 20th edition, Elsevier Company; 2006.
- 5. Marcus GM, Cohen J, Varosy PD, et al. . The utility of gestures in patients with chest discomfort. Am. J. Med 2007; 120 (1): 83 89.

- 6. Moe KT, Wong P. Current trends in diagnostic biomarker of acute coronary syndrome. Ann. Acad. Med. Singap 2010;39 (3): 210 215.
- 7. Corwin, Elizabeth J. Handbook of pathophysiology, 3rd edition, , by Lippincett Williams and Wilkins; 2008.
- 8. Grzybowski M, Welch RD, Parsons L, Ndumele CE, ChenE, Zalenski R, Barron HV. The association between white blood cell count and acute myocardial infarction in hospital mortality: Findings from national registry of myocardial infarction. Academic Emergency Medicine 2004; 11: 1049 1060.
- 9. Bax L, Algra A, Mali WP, Edinger M, Beutler JJ, Vander Graaf . Renal function as a risk indicator for cardiovascular events in 3216 patients with manifest arterial disease. Therosclerosis 2008; 200 (1): 184.
- Zaid Alirhayim, MD1\*, Waqas Qureshi, MD2\*, Vijaya Donthireddy, MD3, Syed Hassan, MD2 and Fatima Khalid4\* Changes in Hemoglobin and Hematocrit May Predict Mortality in Patients with Acute Coronary Syndromes. AMERICAN SOCIETY OF HEMATOLOGY 2005;15: 78-86.
- 11. Toshio KOBAYASHI1, Yuji MIYOSHI2, Kazue YAMAOKA3 and Eiji YANO3. Relationship between Hematological Parameters and Incidence of Ischemic Heart Diseases among Japanese White-Collar Male Workers. J Occup Health 2001; 43: 85–89.
- 12. Khalid Al-Fartosi et al., Study of relationship between blood parametersand oxidant-antioxidant status of patients with unstable angina pectoris and myocardial infractions, Thi-Qar Medical Journal (TQMJ) 2010;4(1): 47-64.
- 13. Alireza Yaghoubi, Zahra Golmohamadi, Role of platelet parameters and haematological indices in myocardial infarction and unstable angina.
- 14. Sreekanth KS, Zachariah G, Annamalai PT., Hematological parameters in acute myocardial infarction- a preliminary study. Amala Res Bull 2000; 2:28-31.
- 15. Khan HA, Alhomida AS, Sobki SH, Al Moghairi A. Significant increases in monocyte counts and serum creatinine kinase in acute myocardial infarction versus general infections. Indian J Pathol Microbiol 2012; 55: 474-7.

- 16. E. Zorio et al. / Haemorheological parameters in young AMI patients, Clinical Hemorheology and Microcirculation 2008; 39:33–41.
- 17. Chafil Saihood Hassan Al-Shujiari, Some Hematological and Biochemical Changes in Acute Myocardial Infarction (MI) in Babylon Government by Medical Journal of Babylon 2010; 7: 475-489.
- 18. Tahir Ahmad Munir, Mohammad Nasir Afzal, assessment of differential leukocyte count in patients with acute coronary syndrome.Am J Cardiol 2003;91:3-6.
- 19. Ly HQ,at al. association of platelet counts on presentation and clinical outcomes in ST elevation myocardial infarction (from TIMI trials)Am J cardiol.2006 jul1;98(1):1-5.
- 20. Nirmala J. DESHPANDE\* AND K. RAGHOTHAMA RAO a comparative study of platelets behavior in controls and acute myocardial infarction patients..Indian J Physiol Pharmacol 1998; 42 (2): 307-310.
- 21. L.Pizulli,A.Yang,J.F.Martin and B.Luderitz, Change in platelet size and count in unstable angina compared to stable angina or non cardiac chest pain. European Heart Journal (1998) 19, 80-84.
- 22. Kung-MingJan et al., Observations on Blood Viscosity Changes after Acute Myocardial Infarction,W. B. Saunders Company an Imprint of Elsevier2004; 87-108, .
- 23. Kirtane AJ et al., Association of peripheral neutropholia with adverse angiographic outcomes in ST-elevation myocardial infarction. Am J Cardiol. 2004 Mar 1;93(5):532-6.

**Disclosure:** No conflicts of interest, financial, or otherwise are declared by authors